3D Computer Vision

Video Understanding

Emanuele Colonna · University of Bari Aldo Moro · 29/05/2026

Who am I?

colonnaemanuele.github.io

cilab.di.uniba.it

Emanuele Colonna - PhD Student

Recall - Image Task

Classification

CAT

No spacial extent

Semantic Segmentation

GRASS, CAT, TREE, SKY

No object, just pixels

Object Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Instance Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Objects

Video

Image with Time

A video is a sequence of images

4D Tensor: T x 3 x H x W

Example Task: ?

Input: T x 3 x H x W

Example Task: Video Classification

Input: T x 3 x H x W

🧠
Model

🏃 Running

🏊 Swimming

🤸 Jumping

🍽️ Eating

🚴 Cycling

Problem!

Video are a series of images! → Video are ~30 frames for second

(3 byte for pixel)

Input: T x 3 x H x W

SD (640×480)	HD (1920×1080)	4K (3840×2160)
~1.5 GB/min	~10 GB/min	~40 GB/min

Possible Solution?

Problem!

Video are a series of images! → Video are ~30 frames for second

(3 byte for pixel)

Input: T x 3 x H x W

SD (640×480)	HD (1920×1080)	4K (3840×2160)
~1.5 GB/min	~10 GB/min	~40 GB/min

💡**Spatio-Temporal Downsampling**

Sample at 5 fps · Use 112×112 resolution

T=16 frames → only ~588 KB uncompressed

Solution - Train on Clips

Raw video - Long, high FPS

Training - short clip with low FPS

Testing - different clips and average predictions

Single-Frame CNN

Simple Idea -> train 2D CNN to classify each frame video.

Strong Baseline for video classification

Very computational expensive method



Late Fusion

Get High-Level appearance of each frame, and combine them.

Hard to compare low-level motion between frames



Early Fusion

Compare frames with very first conv layer, after that normal 2D CNN

One layer of temporal processing may not be enough



3D CNN

3D versions of cone ad pooling to slowly fuse temporal information over the course of the network



Difference with time

2D Convolution



Difference with time

3D Convolution



Difference with time

2D Conv vs 3D Conv



2D Convolution



3D Convolution

Early Fusion Vs Late Fusion Vs 3D CNN

Late Fusion

Layer	Size **(C x T x H x W)**	Receptive Field **(T x H x W)**
Input	3 x 20 x 64 x 64	
Conv2D(3x3, 3->12)	12 x 20 x 64 x 64	1 x 3 x 3



Early Fusion Vs Late Fusion Vs 3D CNN

Late Fusion

Layer	Size **(C x T x H x W)**	Receptive Field **(T x H x W)**
Input	3 x 20 x 64 x 64	
Conv2D(3x3, 3->12)	12 x 20 x 64 x 64	1 x 3 x 3
Pool2D(4x4)	12 x 20 x 16 x 16	1 x 6 x 6



Early Fusion Vs Late Fusion Vs 3D CNN

Late Fusion

Layer	Size **(C x T x H x W)**	Receptive Field **(T x H x W)**
Input	3 x 20 x 64 x 64	
Conv2D(3x3, 3->12)	12 x 20 x 64 x 64	1 x 3 x 3
Pool2D(4x4)	12 x 20 x 16 x 16	1 x 6 x 6
Conv2D(3x3, 12->24)	24 x 20 x 16 x 16	1 x 14 x 14



Early Fusion Vs Late Fusion Vs 3D CNN

Late Fusion

Layer	Size **(C x T x H x W)**	Receptive Field **(T x H x W)**
Input	3 x 20 x 64 x 64	
Conv2D(3x3, 3->12)	12 x 20 x 64 x 64	1 x 3 x 3
Pool2D(4x4)	12 x 20 x 16 x 16	1 x 6 x 6
Conv2D(3x3, 12->24)	24 x 20 x 16 x 16	1 x 14 x 14
GlobalAvgPool	24 x 1 x 1 x 1	20 x 64 x 64



Early Fusion Vs Late Fusion Vs 3D CNN

	Layer	Size **(C x T x H x W)**	Receptive Field **(T x H x W)**
Late Fusion	Input	3 x 20 x 64 x 64	
	Conv2D(3x3, 3->12)	12 x 20 x 64 x 64	1 x 3 x 3
	Pool2D(4x4)	12 x 20 x 16 x 16	1 x 6 x 6
	Conv2D(3x3, 12->24)	24 x 20 x 16 x 16	1 x 14 x 14
	GlobalAvgPool	24 x 1 x 1 x 1	20 x 64 x 64
Early Fusion	Input	3 x 20 x 64 x 64	
	Conv2D(3x3, 3*20->12)	12 x 64 x 64	20 x 3 x 3
	Pool2D(4x4)	12 x 16 x 16	20 x 6 x 6
	Conv2D(3x3, 12->24)	24 x 16 x 16	20 x 14 x 14
	GlobalAvgPool	24 x 1 x 1	20 x 64 x 64
3D CNN	Input	3 x 20 x 64 x 64	
	Conv3D(3x3x3, 3->12)	12 x 20 x 64 x 64	3 x 3 x 3
	Pool3D(4x4x4)	12 x 5 x 16 x 16	6 x 6 x 6
	Conv3D(3x3x3, 12->24)	24 x 5 x 16 x 16	14 x 14 x 14
	GlobalAvgPool	24 x 1 x 1	20 x 64 x 64

Build slowly in space,
All at once in time at end

Build slowly in space,
All at once in time at start

Build slowly in space and build slowly in time

Slow Fusion

Difference with time

2D Conv vs 3D Conv



2D Convolution

No temporal shift-invariance!

Input

C_{in} x T x H x W

Output

C_{out} x H x W



3D Convolution

Temporal shift-invariance since each filter slides over time!

Input

C_{in} x T x H x W

Output

C_{out} x T x H x W

Example Video Dataset

Sport-1M



1 milion YouTube videos annotated with 487 labels of different type of sports

Early Fusion Vs Late Fusion Vs 3D CNN



3x3x3 conv is very expensive!

3D CNNs have improved a lot since 2014!

C3D - The VGG of 3D CNNs

3D CNN that uses all:
- 3x3x3 convolution
- 2x2x2 pooling

Released model retrained on Sports-1M

Many people used this as a video feature extractor

Layer	Size
Input	3 x 16 x 112 x 112
Conv1 (3x3x3)	64 x 16 x 112 x 112
Pool1 (1x2x2)	64 x 16 x 56 x 56
Conv2 (3x3x3)	128 x 16 x 56 x 56
Pool2 (2x2x2)	128 x 8 x 28 x 28
Conv3a (3x3x3)	256 x 8 x 28 x 28
Conv3b (3x3x3)	256 x 8 x 28 x 28
Pool3 (2x2x2)	256 x 4 x 14 x 14
Conv4a (3x3x3)	512 x 4 x 14 x 14
Conv4b (3x3x3)	512 x 4 x 14 x 14
Pool4 (2x2x2)	512 x 2 x 7 x 7
Conv5a (3x3x3)	512 x 2 x 7 x 7
Conv5b (3x3x3)	512 x 2 x 7 x 7
Pool5	512 x 1 x 3 x 3
FC6	4096
FC7	4096
FC8	C

C3D - The VGG of 3D CNNs

3D CNN that uses all:
- 3x3x3 convolution
- 2x2x2 pooling

Released model retrained on Sports-1M

Many people used this as a video feature extractor

AlexNet -> 0.7 GFLOP

VGG16 -> 13.6 GFLOP

C3D -> 39.5 GFLOP

Layer	Size	MFLOPs
Input	3 x 16 x 112 x 112	
Conv1 (3x3x3)	64 x 16 x 112 x 112	1.04
Pool1 (1x2x2)	64 x 16 x 56 x 56	
Conv2 (3x3x3)	128 x 16 x 56 x 56	11.10
Pool2 (2x2x2)	128 x 8 x 28 x 28	
Conv3a (3x3x3)	256 x 8 x 28 x 28	5.55
Conv3b (3x3x3)	256 x 8 x 28 x 28	11.10
Pool3 (2x2x2)	256 x 4 x 14 x 14	
Conv4a (3x3x3)	512 x 4 x 14 x 14	2.77
Conv4b (3x3x3)	512 x 4 x 14 x 14	5.55
Pool4 (2x2x2)	512 x 2 x 7 x 7	
Conv5a (3x3x3)	512 x 2 x 7 x 7	0.69
Conv5b (3x3x3)	512 x 2 x 7 x 7	0.69
Pool5	512 x 1 x 3 x 3	
FC6	4096	0.51
FC7	4096	0.45
FC8	C	0.05

Early Fusion Vs Late Fusion Vs 3D CNN



Optical Flow

Separating Motion and Appearance



Image at frame t



Image at frame t + 1

Optical Flow

Separating Motion and Appearance



Image at frame t



Image at frame t + 1



Displacement filed F between images I_t and I_{t+1}

Optical Flow

Separating Motion and Appearance



Image at frame t



Image at frame t + 1



Displacement filed F between images I_t and I_{t+1}

Where each pixel will move in the next frame:

$$F(x, y) = (dx, dy)$$

$$I_{t+1}(x + dx, y + dy) = I_t(x, y)$$

Optical Flow

Separating Motion and Appearance



Image at frame t



Image at frame t + 1

Displacement filed F
between images I_t and I_{t+1}



Where each pixel will move in the next frame:

$$F(x, y) = (dx, dy)$$

$$I_{t+1}(x + dx, y + dy) = I_t(x, y)$$

Local Motion



Horizontal flow dx



Vertical flow dy

Two-Stream Networks

Separating Motion and Appearance



Two-Stream Networks

Separating Motion and Appearance



Problem!

What about long-term structure?

Theoretically we know how to handle sequences

How about Recurrent Networks?



Modeling long-time temporal structure



Modeling long-time temporal structure

Process local features using recurrent network (es. LSTM)



Modeling long-time temporal structure

Process local features using recurrent network (es. LSTM)

Many to one -> one output at end of video



Modeling long-time temporal structure

Process local features using recurrent network (es. LSTM)

Many to one -> one output at end of video



Modeling long-time temporal structure

Inside CNN -> Each value is a function of a fixed temporal window

Inside RNN -> Each vector is a function of all previous vectors

Can we merge both approaches?



Recurrent Convolutional Network

Entire network uses 2D feature maps -> C x H x W



Use different weights at each layer

Share weights across time

Multi-layer RNN



Recurrent Convolutional Network



Recurrent Convolutional Network

Recall: $h_{t=1} = \tanh(W_h h_t + W_x x)$



Modeling long-time temporal structure

Infinite temporal extent



Finite temporal extent



RNNs are slow for long sequences

Infinite temporal extent



Spatio-Temporal Self-Attention

Input clip

3D CNN

C x T x H x W

Nonlocal block

Spatio-Temporal Self-Attention



Spatio-Temporal Self-Attention



Spatio-Temporal Self-Attention



Spatio-Temporal Self-Attention



Spatio-Temporal Self-Attention



Spatio-Temporal Self-Attention

Input clip

We can add nonlocal blocks into existing 3D CNN architectures



Inflating 2D Networks to 3D

Can we reuse image architectures for video?

Idea: take a 2D CNN architecture

Replace each 2D K_h x K_W conv/pool layer



Inflating 2D Networks to 3D

Can we reuse image architectures for video?

Idea: take a 2D CNN architecture

Replace each 2D K_h x K_W conv/pool layer

With 3D K_t x K_h x $K_W$version



Inflating 2D Networks to 3D

Can we reuse image architectures for video?

Idea: take a 2D CNN architecture

Replace each 2D conv/pool layer with 3D version

Can use weights of 2D convolution to initialize 3D conv -> copy K_t time in space and divide by K_t

This gives the same result as 2D conv given constant video input



Vision Transformers for Video

Factorized attention
Attend over space/time



Bertasius et al, "Is Space-Time Attention All You Need for Video Understanding?", ICML 2021
Arnab et al, "ViViT: A Video Vision Transformer", ICCV 2021
Neimark et al, "Video Transformer Network", ICCV 2021

Pooling module
Reduce number of tokens



Fan et al, "Multiscale Vision Transformers", ICCV 2021
Li et al, "MViTv2: Improved Multiscale Vision Transformers for Classification and Detection", CVPR 2022

Video masked autoencoders
Efficient scalable pretraning



Wang et al. VideoMAE V2: Scaling Video Masked Autoencoders with Dual Making. CVPR 2023.
Tong et al. Video MAE: Masked Autoencoders are Data-Efficient Learners for Self-Supervised Video Pre-Training. NeurIPS 2022.
Feichtenhofer et al. Masked autoencoders as spatiotemporal learners. NeurIPS 2022.

Vision Transformers for Video



Can you guess the action?

Weightlifting

Appearance



Slow motion



Fast motion



Can you guess the action? Apply eye makeup

Appearance



Slow motion



Fast motion



Efficient Video Understanding

Full-length video recognition is computationally prohibitive

Compact Architectures



C. Feichtenhofer, "X3D: Expanding Architectures for Efficient Video Recognition," 2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Seattle, WA, USA, 2020, pp. 200-210.

Smart Clip Sampling



Panda, Rameswar et al. "AdaMML: Adaptive Multi-Modal Learning for Efficient Video Recognition." 2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV) (2021): 7556-7565.

Video + LLMs

Video Understanding



Cheng, Z., Leng, S., Zhang, H., Xin, Y., Li, X., Chen, G., Zhu, Y., Zhang, W., Luo, Z., Zhao, D., & Bing, L. (2024). VideoLLaMA 2: Advancing Spatial-Temporal Modeling and Audio Understanding in Video-LLMs. ArXiv, abs/2406.07476.

Video + LLMs

LLM-guided Video Generation



Lin, H., Zala, A., Cho, J., & Bansal, M. (2023). VideoDirectorGPT: Consistent Multi-scene Video Generation via LLM-Guided Planning. ArXiv, abs/2309.15091.

That’s all folks!